



Conducted Electrical Weapon Reporting Form

To be completed by any Vermont Law Enforcement Officer after the display or deployment of a Conducted Electrical Weapon (CEW)

SECTION ONE: Display and/or Deployment Information

Case Number:	21MR002192	Location of Incident:	Union St.
Date of Incident:	5/19/21	Time of Incident:	1630 hrs
CEW Model:		CEW Serial Number:	
Use of CEW: Check all that apply	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CEW displayed		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probes fired	Location where probes hit subject:	MISS
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drive stun mode	No. of cycles:	2-3 Total (Not all in contact)
		Location where was CEW held against subject's body:	Torso

Was a recording device running at the time of the incident? Yes No
 If yes, was it a Body Cam Dashboard Cam other (describe):

Was the subject: Human OR Animal (if animal, STOP here and submit form)

Was subject charged with a crime? Yes No
 If yes, what charge(s): Ag. Asslt on Leo, VOCR, Unlawful Mishd., Resisting Arrest

SECTION TWO: Incident Information

1. Subject Gender: <input type="checkbox"/> Female <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Male	2. Subject Age (if unknown, give an approximate guess): 22	3. Perceived race of subject at the time of display or deployment: <input type="checkbox"/> Asian <input type="checkbox"/> American Indian or Alaska Native <input type="checkbox"/> Black or African-American <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic or Latino <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> White <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
---	---	--

4. Before deployment, did you have reason to believe the subject was a member of a special population? If yes, check all that apply. (If none apply, go to Question 6)

<input type="checkbox"/> Pregnant	<input type="checkbox"/> Traumatic Brain Injury
<input type="checkbox"/> Elderly (Over the age of 55)	<input type="checkbox"/> Emotional crisis to the extent subject may have had difficulty understanding requests or orders
<input type="checkbox"/> Child (Under the age of 16)	<input type="checkbox"/> Epilepsy/seizure disorder
<input type="checkbox"/> Low body-mass index (Body type is Thin)	<input type="checkbox"/> Heart condition
<input type="checkbox"/> Disability	<input type="checkbox"/> Deaf/hard of hearing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mental health condition	<input type="checkbox"/> Low vision/blind
<input type="checkbox"/> Developmental/intellectual disability	

5. How did you obtain information leading to your belief that the subject was a member of a special population? Check all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/> Subject notified officer	<input type="checkbox"/> Civilian witness
<input type="checkbox"/> Professional witness	<input type="checkbox"/> Dispatch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal perception of the subject	

6. To the best of your knowledge, was the person under the influence of alcohol or other drugs at the time of the incident? Yes No Unknown

7. Were mental health or developmental disabilities professionals contacted for assistance with the subject?
 No (If no, go to Section Three)
 Yes

If yes, contacted by: Officer or Someone Else (list whom): Sgt Lunau

If yes, when?

- Prior to the display or deployment
- During the display or deployment
- After the display or deployment

Other comments: Mental Health Did not respond

8. What was the outcome of that attempt to contact mental health care or developmental disability professionals? Check all that apply:

- Professional assisted to resolve situation more promptly or with less coercion than without contact;
- Professional did not result in any positive or helpful impact on the situation;
- Professional provided limited positive or helpful impact on the situation;
- Contact was attempted but no one could be reached;
- Professional helped reduce the time officers had to be at the scene;
- Professional helped avoid involuntary placement in detention or emergency department;
- Professional helped provide appropriate follow-up and service provision;
- Intervention was ineffective.

SECTION THREE: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Decision to use CEW was based on:

- Active aggression of subject;
- Active resistance of subject, with injuries to others or subject likely to occur;
- Anticipated injuries to subject, officer, or others at scene.

What was the subject's response to the use of the CEW?

- Subject was compliant directly after use of CEW;
- Subject was not compliant directly after use of CEW, requiring additional force;
- CEW failed; subject had to be handled through other means. State reason for failure if known:

Was any other force used in addition to the CEW? Check all that apply:

- OC
- Firearm
- Physical force
- Baton

other (describe):

Was this additional use of force before or after use of the CEW? Before After

Was medical assistance provided to the subject following the use of the CEW? Yes No

If yes, by whom? Officer EMS personnel Other emergency or health care professionals

Check any box below relating to noteworthy details not already described:

- Incident occurred on an elevated location such as a roof, stairs, or bridge;
- Subject was near or in water at time of incident;
- Subject was wearing heavy clothes;
- Subject was more than 25 feet away when CEW probe shot;
- Subject was fleeing when CEW probe shot.

Was the training "Interacting with People Experiencing a Mental Health Crisis" (also known as Act 80 training) useful in dealing with this incident? Yes No N/A

If no, describe why not: Defendant was, therefore no time for de-escalation.

Return this completed form via scan or email to:



Executive Director Richard Gauthier
Vermont Criminal Justice Training Council
317 Academy Road, Pittsford, VT 05763
Tel: (802)483-6228 Fax: (802)483-2343
Richard.Gauthier@state.vt.us

RESPONSE TO RESISTANCE

CASE # 21AR07392
 DATE OF INCIDENT 5 / 19 / 21

CIRCLE THE AREA (S) OF IMPACT AND/OR INJURY

PHOTOGRAPHS OF INJURIES ... YES NO BY WHOM

 <p>SUSPECT</p>	 <p>OFFICER</p>
---	--

Video Review

Video Available

Video Reviewed by:

Video Preserved

REVIEWED BY / SIGNATURE / COMMENTS	ID#	DATE
SUPERVISOR: <u>Sgt Lunau</u>		
ON-SCENE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		
COMMENTS		
TRAINING OFFICER		
COMMENTS		

Use of Force Report

On May 19, 2021 at approximately 1542 hours, Ofc Lamb and I responded to a report of a male chopping at a wooden railing on the Bridge Street Bridge. I photographed the damaged railing and observed one cut mark consistent with one caused by an axe blow.

I spoke with witness Eric Halperin who witnessed the event and identified the suspect as the "crazy tall skinny red headed kid that's always walking around town." From prior incidents I suspected him to be referring to Henry Lovell, (DOB 8/22/1998) who both fits the physical description and has several incidents of similar behavior. Halperin stated Lovell got into a hatchback style vehicle and left. Lovell has a long history of assaults on Police Officers, including threatening me with a knife on 3/11/21. He also has been responsible for injuring other officers during altercations, causing one officer to require surgery and being out of work for approximately 3 months. I was also aware of his court conditions of released that stated he was not to possess any dangerous deadly weapons or display any violent or threatening behavior. The above mentioned conditions were ordered by Judge Waples on 3/12/21.

Ofc Lamb and I patrolled the village and were unable to locate Lovell. At approximately 1630 we drove to Lovell's residence on Union Street. I observed Lovell walking from his residence to a car parked on the street. The car on the street was a dark Volvo station wagon. Ofc Lamb and I got out and contacted Lovell. He initially denied the incident. I observed an axe stuck in a block of wood on the lawn of the residence.

Ofc Lamb told Lovell that he was coming with us for processing. Lovell questioned this and asked if he was being detained. I told him he was under arrest. Lovell backed up towards the car and Ofc Lamb grabbed his wrist. Lovell pulled away and began to run away from Ofc Lamb.

I grabbed Lovell as he was running and tried to stop him. My momentum carried us both into the tailgate of Ofc Lamb's patrol truck. Ofc Lamb grabbed Lovell who began pulling away from him. I grabbed Lovell's wrist and he began flailing it at my face in attempt to break my hold. Lovell was pulling away and resisting with extreme force.

I ordered Lovell to stop resisting or he would be tased. I drew my taser and attempted to deploy it, however Lovell was grabbing at the taser and hitting it away preventing me from deploying it. Ofc Lamb and Lovell were continuing to struggle as Ofc Lamb attempted to gain control. I attempted to deploy the taser again in drive stun mode as they got to their feet again but Lovell shoved me back onto a lawn. At some point in the struggle Lovell attempted to knee me in the testicles and missed, striking me in the upper left thigh. This caused pain.

During my attempted Drive-stun with the taser, Lovell grabbed the end of my taser and was attempting to turn it back into me, as well as towards Ofc Lamb. I was tased on my left wrist and arm several times in the struggle. This caused pain. I was able to effectively connect the taser using a drive stun in Lovell's torso area.

Ofc Lamb and I were finally able to push Lovell to the ground, and pin him down. He was still resisting and while I was controlling his left arm he struggled to get free and caused pain to my shoulder while struggling for control of his hand.

After gaining control of his hand I was able to secure a handcuff on one hand. Ofc Lamb and I were finally able to gain control and handcuff Lovell's other hand through more active resistance.

During the entire physical altercation I was yelling commands to Lovell to stop resisting. After backup arrived I was temporary relieved of controlling Lovell, By Det Whitcomb of Stowe Police, and Cpl Tetreault of LCSD. Immediately after standing up from the ground I realized I had a sharp pain in my back that was received sometime during the altercation. During the attempt to apprehend Lovell, his assaultive behavior caused several injuries that required me to receive medical treatment and evaluation at Copley ER. This also resulted in me not being able to return to work for my next scheduled shift.

It should be noted one taser probe was located embedded in the dirt, the other was loose and appeared to have either been pulled from the ground during the struggle, or never contacted anyone or anything initially. There were no taser probe injuries discovered on Lovell during his medical evaluation at Copley Hospital. Lovell was treated for minor scrapes and bruises.

After subsequent medical evaluation, I have been placed on medical leave with an unknown return date at this time.