

Conducted Electrical Weapon Reporting Form

To be completed by any Vermont Law Enforcement Officer after the display or deployment of a Conducted Electrical Weapon (CEW)

| | | olay and/or Deploymen | riiii Omianoir |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Case Number: | 1668010254 | Location of Incident: | Panera Bread |
| Date of Incident: | 09/02/16 | Time of Incident: | 1456 hours |
| CEW Model: | X26P | CEW Serial Number: | X1200425K |
| | CEW displayed | | |
| Use of CEW: Check all that apply | ☐ Probes fired | Location where probes hit subject: | |
| | Drive stun mode | No. of cycles: 4 | |
| | | Location where was CEW held against subject's body: | Left Thigh |
| Was a recording device running at the time of the incident? Yes No If you was it a Rody Came Report Came Report Came Report (describe): | | | |
| If yes, was it a Body Cam Dashboard Cam other (describe): | | | |
| Was the subject: Human OR Animal (if animal, STOP here and submit form) | | | |
| Was subject charged with a crime? X Yes \ \ No \ If yes, what charge(s): Simple Assault on Law Enforcement Officer | | | |
| If yes, what charge(s): Simple Assault on Law Entorcement Officer SEGTION TWO Incident Information | | | |
| | 2. Subject Age (if 3. Perc | | e time of display or deployment: |
| | unknown, give an Asia | n [| American Indian or Alaska Native |
| Male | | k or African-American [| ☐ Hispanic or Latino |
| | 54 X Whit | e[| Unknown |
| 4. Before deployment, did you have reason to believe the subject was a member of a special population? If yes, check all that apply. (If none apply, go to Question 6) | | | |
| ☐ Pregnant | | Trau | ımatic Brain Injury |
| Elderly (Over the age of 55) | | ☐ Ema | otional crisis to the extent subject |
| Child (Under the ag | ge of 16) | • | have had difficulty understanding |
| Low body-mass index (Body type is Thin) requests or orders | | | |
| Disability , | | <u> </u> | epsy/seizure disorder |
| Nertial fleatification | | | |
| □ Developmental/Ir | ntellectual disability | | vision/blind |
| 5. How did you obtain information leading to your belief that the subject was a member of a special population? Check all that apply: | | | |
| | | | |
| ☐ Subject notified officer☐ Professional witness☐ Dispatch | | | |
| Personal perception of the subject | | | |
| | | | |
| To the best of your knowledge, was the person under the influence of alcohol or other drugs at the time of the incident? X Yes No Unknown | | | |
| 7. Were mental health or developmental disabilities professionals contacted for assistance with the subject? No (If no, go to Section Three) | | | |
| Yes | | | |

| If yes, contacted by: Officer or Someone Else (list whom): If yes, when? | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Prior to the display or deployment | | | |
| During the display or deployment | | | |
| ☐ After the display or deployment | | | |
| Other comments: | | | |
| 8. What was the outcome of that attempt to contact mental health care or developmental disability | | | |
| professionals? Check all that apply: | | | |
| ☐ Professional assisted to resolve situation more promptly or with less coercion than without contact; | | | |
| ☐ Professional did not result in any positive or helpful impact on the situation; | | | |
| Professional provided limited positive or helpful impact on the situation; | | | |
| Contact was attempted but no one could be reached; | | | |
| Professional helped reduce the time officers had to be at the scene; | | | |
| | | | |
| Professional helped avoid involuntary placement in detention or emergency department; | | | |
| Professional helped provide appropriate follow-up and service provision; | | | |
| ☐ Intervention was ineffective. | | | |
| SECTION THREE: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | | |
| Decision to use CEW was based on: | | | |
| Active aggression of subject; | | | |
| Active resistance of subject, with injuries to others or subject likely to occur; | | | |
| Anticipated injuries to subject, officer, or others at scene. | | | |
| What was the subject's response to the use of the CEW? | | | |
| Subject was compliant directly after use of CEW; | | | |
| Subject was not compliant directly after use of CEW, requiring additional force; | | | |
| ☐ CEW failed; subject had to be handled through other means. State reason for failure if known: | | | |
| Devi landa, sabject had to be handled through other medie. State reason to landre il known. | | | |
| | | | |
| Was any other force used in addition to the CEW? Check all that apply: | | | |
| □OC □ Firearm ☑ Physical force □ Baton | | | |
| □other (describe): | | | |
| Was this additional use of force before or after use of the CEW? ☐ Before ☐ After | | | |
| | | | |
| Was medical assistance provided to the subject following the use of the CEW? Yes No | | | |
| If yes, by whom? Officer EMS personnel Other emergency or health care professionals | | | |
| Check any box below relating to noteworthy details not already described: | | | |
| ☐ Incident occurred on an elevated location such as a roof, stairs, or bridge; | | | |
| ☐ Subject was near or in water at time of incident; | | | |
| Subject was wearing heavy clothes; | | | |
| Subject was more than 25 feet away when CEW probe shot; | | | |
| Subject was fleeing when CEW probe shot. | | | |
| Was the training "Interacting with People Experiencing a Mental Health Crisis" (also known as Act 80 | | | |
| training) useful in dealing with this incident? Yes No N/A | | | |
| If no, describe why not: | | | |

Return this completed form via scan or email to:

Executive Director Richard Gauthier Vermont Criminal Justice Training Council 317 Academy Road, Pittsford, VT 05763 Tel: (802)483-6228 Fax: (802)483-2343 Richard.Gauthier@state.vt.us