



# Conducted Electrical Weapon Reporting Form

To be completed by any Vermont Law Enforcement Officer after the display or deployment of a Conducted Electrical Weapon (CEW)

## SECTION ONE: Display and/or Deployment Information

Case Number:	1801400933	Location of Incident:	700T Rte 106 Springfield, VT
Date of Incident:	10/30/18	Time of Incident:	0105
CEW Model:	Taser X2	CEW Serial Number:	X30004HAE
Use of CEW: Check all that apply	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CEW displayed		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Probes fired	Location where probes hit subject:	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Drive stun mode	No. of cycles:	
		Location where was CEW held against subject's body:	
Was a recording device running at the time of the incident? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, was it a <input type="checkbox"/> Body Cam <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dashboard Cam <input type="checkbox"/> other (describe):			
Was the subject: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Human OR <input type="checkbox"/> Animal (if animal, STOP here and submit form)			
Was subject charged with a crime? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No UNKNOWN - Mental Health Warrant If yes, what charge(s):			

## SECTION TWO: Incident Information

1. Subject Gender: <input type="checkbox"/> Female <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Male	2. Subject Age (if unknown, give an approximate guess):  55	3. Perceived race of subject at the time of display or deployment: <input type="checkbox"/> Asian <input type="checkbox"/> Black or African-American <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> White <input type="checkbox"/> American Indian or Alaska Native <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic or Latino <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
4. Before deployment, did you have reason to believe the subject was a member of a special population? If yes, check all that apply. (If none apply, go to Question 6)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pregnant <input type="checkbox"/> Elderly (Over the age of 65) <input type="checkbox"/> Child (Under the age of 18) <input type="checkbox"/> Low body-mass index (Body type is Thin) <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mental health condition <input type="checkbox"/> Developmental/intellectual disability <input type="checkbox"/> Traumatic Brain Injury <input type="checkbox"/> Emotional crisis to the extent subject may have had difficulty understanding requests or orders <input type="checkbox"/> Epilepsy/seizure disorder <input type="checkbox"/> Heart condition <input type="checkbox"/> Deaf/hard of hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Low vision/blind		
5. How did you obtain information leading to your belief that the subject was a member of a special population? Check all that apply:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Subject notified officer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional witness <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal perception of the subject <input type="checkbox"/> Civilian witness <input type="checkbox"/> Dispatch		
6. To the best of your knowledge, was the person under the influence of alcohol or other drugs at the time of the incident? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown		
7. Were mental health or developmental disabilities professionals contacted for assistance with the subject? <input type="checkbox"/> No (If no, go to Section Three) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes		

If yes, contacted by:  Officer or  Someone Else (list whom): Springfield PD  
If yes, when?  
 Prior to the display or deployment  
 During the display or deployment  
 After the display or deployment  
Other comments:

8. What was the outcome of that attempt to contact mental health care or developmental disability professionals? Check all that apply:
- Professional assisted to resolve situation more promptly or with less coercion than without contact;
  - Professional did not result in any positive or helpful impact on the situation;
  - Professional provided limited positive or helpful impact on the situation;
  - Contact was attempted but no one could be reached;
  - Professional helped reduce the time officers had to be at the scene;
  - Professional helped avoid involuntary placement in detention or emergency department;
  - Professional helped provide appropriate follow-up and service provision;
  - Intervention was ineffective.

### SECTION THREE: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Decision to use CEW was based on:

- Active aggression of subject;
- Active resistance of subject, with injuries to others or subject likely to occur;
- Anticipated injuries to subject, officer, or others at scene.

What was the subject's response to the use of the CEW?

- Subject was compliant directly after use of CEW;
- Subject was not compliant directly after use of CEW, requiring additional force;
- CEW failed; subject had to be handled through other means. State reason for failure if known:

Was any other force used in addition to the CEW? Check all that apply:

- OC       Firearm       Physical force       Baton  
 other (describe):

Was this additional use of force before or after use of the CEW?  Before  After

Was medical assistance provided to the subject following the use of the CEW?  Yes  No

If yes, by whom?  Officer  EMS personnel  Other emergency or health care professionals

Check any box below relating to noteworthy details not already described:

- Incident occurred on an elevated location such as a roof, stairs, or bridge;
- Subject was near or in water at time of incident;
- Subject was wearing heavy clothes;
- Subject was more than 25 feet away when CEW probe shot;
- Subject was fleeing when CEW probe shot.

Was the training "Interacting with People Experiencing a Mental Health Crisis" (also known as Act 80 training) useful in dealing with this incident?  Yes  No  N/A

If no, describe why not:

**Return this completed form via scan or email to:**

Executive Director Richard Gauthier  
Vermont Criminal Justice Training Council  
317 Academy Road, Pittsford, VT 05763  
Tel: (802)483-6228 Fax: (802)483-2343  
Richard.Gauthier@Vermont.Gov

18CH00933 Use of Force Incident Summary:

1. On October 29<sup>th</sup>, 2018 at approximately 2238 hours I responded to 70 VT Route 106 in Springfield to assist Springfield PD with a barricaded male suffering a mental health crisis. Male identified as Anthony Smith, was armed with a steak knife and threatening self-harm.
2. I conducted scene security as Springfield Det. Sgt. Pat Call applied for and was granted a mental health arrest warrant. While looking through the window of the apartment I could see Smith armed with a tree limb saw and was stabbing his refrigerator repeatedly in a thrusting motion. Upon being granted the warrant we made forced entry into the apartment while Smith attempted to barricade the door with his large 300+ pound body.
3. After removing the door to the apartment, Weathersfield Police Officer Etsy blocked the gap with a police shield. Smith was armed with a bladed weapon. I pointed my Taser at him and deactivated the safety. Upon seeing the Taser, Smith dropped his weapon and was taken into custody through use of soft empty hand control by other Officers and myself. He was then transported to the Springfield Hospital Emergency Department by Springfield Fire. This ended my involvement in this incident.