

Commission (Ceyve : 1995) Annual Ceyve : 1995) A

| | SECTION ONE | Disp | lay and/or Dep | lovmer | at Information |
|---|---|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|
| Case Number: | 20FRC003887 | | Location of Incident: | | Route 104a Fairfax, VT |
| Date of Incident: | 8/30/2020 | | Time of inciden | | 0025 Hours |
| CEW Model: | X2 | | CEW Serial Nur | nber: | x29007hy6 |
| | CEW displayed | | | ALGORITYG | |
| List of CEV a Cost of Graph, | ☐ Probes fired | | Location where pro | bes hit | T |
| | Drive stun mode | | No. of cycles: | | |
| | ☐ DIME SMITHOUS | | | CEW | |
| Was a recording de | evice running at the ti | me of t | | 'es [her (des | No |
| Was the subject: | | $\overline{}$ | al (if animal, STOP) | | |
| Was subject charge | | Yes | No No | rere and | submit form) |
| If yes, what charg | 18(s): | | 140 | | |
| | | TION T | WO: Incident Inf | ormatic | an |
| 1. Subject Gender: Female Male | 2. Subject Age (if 3 unknown, give an approximate guess): | . Perce ∐Asiar | rived race of subj | ect at th | e time of display or deployment: American Indian or Alaska Native Hispanic or Latino |
| | JU | White | | | THeknown |
| 4. Before deployment, did you have reason to be yes, check all that apply. (If none apply, go to Pregnant Elderly (Over the age of 85) Child (Under the age of 16) Low body-mass index (Body type is Thin) Disability Mental health condition Developmental/intellectual disability | | | o Guestion 6) | Trainer | umatic Brain Injury otional crisis to the extent subject y have had difficulty understanding uests or orders epsy/selzure disorder irt condition if/hard of hearing |
| , , | is on that apply. | to you | r belief that the s | ubject v | vas a member of a special |
| Subject notified Professional with Personal percep | ness _ |]Civilia]Dispa | n witness tch | | ¥. |
| | 110 HOUNTS | 44 | | | lcohol or other drugs at the time of |
| 7. Were mental heali ■No (If no, go to □Yes | h or developmental o Section Three) | disabilit | ies professionals | contact | ed for assistance with the subject? |
| | | | | | |

VCJTC, Conducted Electrical Weapon Reporting Form, 2015

Page 1 of 2

about:blai

| If yes, contacted by: Officer or Someone Fige (list whom): | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| If yes, when? | | | | | |
| Prior to the display or deployment | | | | | |
| During the display or deployment | | | | | |
| ☐After the display or deployment | | | | | |
| Other comments: | | | | | |
| What was the outcome of that attempt to contact mental health care or developmental disability professionals? Check all that apply: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Professional assisted to resolve situation more promptly or with less coercion than without contact; | | | | | |
| — The state of the country business of neighborhood the attractions. | | | | | |
| Li rioressional provided limited positive or helpful impact on the citation. | | | | | |
| Contact was attempted but no one could be reached; | | | | | |
| Professional helped reduce the time officers had to be at the scene; | | | | | |
| Professional helped avoid involuntary placement in detention or emergency department; | | | | | |
| Professional helped provide appropriate follow-up and service provision: Intervention was ineffective. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| SECTION THREE: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | | | | |
| Decision to use CEVV was based on: | | | | | |
| Active aggression of subject: | | | | | |
| Active resistance of subject, with injuries to others or subject likely to occur. | | | | | |
| Mhat was the subjects to subject, officer, or others at scene. | | | | | |
| What was the subject's response to the use of the CEW? | | | | | |
| Subject was compliant directly after use of CEW; | | | | | |
| Subject was not compliant directly after use of CEW, requiring additional force; | | | | | |
| CEW failed; subject had to be handled through other means. State reason for failure if known; | | | | | |
| Was any other force used in addition to the CEW? Check all that apply: | | | | | |
| OC Firearm Physical force Baton | | | | | |
| Was this additional use of force before as effective as a second of the | | | | | |
| Man madical and a second of the before of after use of the CEW? Before After | | | | | |
| Was medical assistance provided to the subject following the use of the CEW? Yes No | | | | | |
| in yes, by whiching Officer Liews personnel Other emergency or health care preferationals | | | | | |
| Orican any box below folding to noteworthy details not already described. | | | | | |
| Incident occurred on an elevated location such as a roof, stairs, or bridge; | | | | | |
| Subject was near or in water at time of incident- | | | | | |
| ☐ Subject was wearing heavy clothes; | | | | | |
| Subject was more than 25 feet away when CEW probe shot; | | | | | |
| Subject was fleeing when CEW probe shot. | | | | | |
| Was the training "Interacting with People Experiencing a Mental Health Crisis" (also known as Act 80 training) useful in dealing with this incident? | | | | | |
| If no, describe why not: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Return this completed form via scan or email to:
Executive Director Richard Gauthler
Vermont Criminal Justice Training Council
317 Academy Road, Pitteford, VT 05763
Tel: (802)483-6228 Fax: (802)483-2343
Richard Gauthier@vermont.gov

VCJTC, Conducted Electrical Weapon Reporting Form, 2015

Page 2 of 2